

CONVOCATORIA No. 039

Por disposición del Dr. Inti Andres Yumbay Taris, Alcalde del cantón Guaranda, y de conformidad con el art. 318 del Código Orgánico de Organización Territorial, Autonomía y Descentralización y el art. 37 de la Ordenanza de Organización y Funcionamiento de Concejo del Gobierno Autónomo Descentralizado Municipal del cantón Guaranda, me permito convocar a ustedes a la **Sesión Ordinaria**, del Concejo Municipal del Gobierno Autónomo Descentralizado del cantón Guaranda, misma que se llevará a efecto el martes 18 de noviembre del 2025 a las 10H00 am, en el salón de la ciudad, para tratar el siguiente orden del día:

- 1.- Conocimiento y aprobación del acta de la Sesión Ordinaria de 21 de octubre de 2025 y acta de Sesión Extraordinaria Reservada de 7 de noviembre de 2025.
- 2.- Conocimiento de la Resolución Administrativa No. RPI-GADCG-2025-008, respecto a la "Octava Reforma Presupuestaria Interna Mediante Traspasos De Crédito Entre Programas y Subprogramas del Presupuesto Institucional del Gobierno Autónomo Descentralizado del cantón Guaranda, correspondiente al Ejercicio Fiscal 2025"

Atentamente.

Abg. Juan Carlos Pozo Meléndez

SECRETARIO GENERAL DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL (E).

SECRETARÍA DE CONCEJO
Guaranda



(03) 2551083 / (03) 2551088



www.guaranda.gob.ec



Convención de 1884 y García Moreno

ADMINISTRACIÓN 2023 -2027

THE COURT'S DECISION

The Court is divided 4:3 on the question of whether the Minister of Education's decision to suspend the respondent teacher from her post for a period of 12 months is lawful. The majority of the Court, consisting of Justices Khampepe, J, Zondo, J, and Mogohe, J, holds that the suspension is lawful. The minority, consisting of Justices Gubbay, J, and J, holds that the suspension is unlawful. The majority's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The minority's decision is based on the finding that the suspension was not a proportionate response to the misconduct and that the respondent teacher's conduct was not grossly negligent.

The Court's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The majority's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct.

The Court's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The majority's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The minority's decision is based on the finding that the suspension was not a proportionate response to the misconduct and that the respondent teacher's conduct was not grossly negligent.

The Court's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The majority's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The minority's decision is based on the finding that the suspension was not a proportionate response to the misconduct and that the respondent teacher's conduct was not grossly negligent.

The Court's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The majority's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The minority's decision is based on the finding that the suspension was not a proportionate response to the misconduct and that the respondent teacher's conduct was not grossly negligent.

The Court's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The majority's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The minority's decision is based on the finding that the suspension was not a proportionate response to the misconduct and that the respondent teacher's conduct was not grossly negligent.

The Court's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The majority's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The minority's decision is based on the finding that the suspension was not a proportionate response to the misconduct and that the respondent teacher's conduct was not grossly negligent.

The Court's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The majority's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The minority's decision is based on the finding that the suspension was not a proportionate response to the misconduct and that the respondent teacher's conduct was not grossly negligent.

The Court's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The majority's decision is based on the finding that the respondent teacher's conduct was grossly negligent and that the suspension was a proportionate response to the misconduct. The minority's decision is based on the finding that the suspension was not a proportionate response to the misconduct and that the respondent teacher's conduct was not grossly negligent.